Fitting a Linear Regression with a Fixed Intercept



STA303/STA1002: Methods of Data Analysis II, Summer 2016

Michael Guerzhoy

When Does it Make Sense to Use Zero Intercept?

- When you are sure that the intercept is zero.
- Example: Hooke's Law
 - The spring extends more for heavier weights
 - Doesn't extend at all for weight zero
 - $\Delta X = \beta_1 w$
 - w=0 must mean $\Delta X=0$



Qualitative Variables: A convenient way to estimate the mean

summary(lm(Percentage~0+Pair, data=fish))

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Percentage ~ 0 + Pair, data = fish)
##
## Residuals:
    Min 10 Median 30
##
                                     Max
## -52,429 -8,414 0,247 10,859 28,871
##
## Coefficients:
##
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## PairPair1 56.406 3.864 14.60 <2e-16 ***
## PairPair2 60.886 4.131 14.74 <2e-16 ***
## PairPair3 62.429 3.749 16.65 <2e-16 ***
## PairPair4 67.000 4.131 16.22 <2e-16 ***</pre>
## PairPair5 64.211 5.152 12.46 <2e-16 ***
## PairPair6 63.336 4.131 15.33 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 15.46 on 78 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9458, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9416
## F-statistic: 226.8 on 6 and 78 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Would have to add the value of the intercept to the coefficients to get the sample means if the intercept weren't 0

ANOVA when the intercept is 0

```
anova(lm(Percentage~0+Pair, data=fish))

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Percentage
## Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## Pair 6 325175 54196 226.83 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Residuals 78 18637 239
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1</pre>
```

anova(lm(Percentage~Pair, data=fish))

Analysis of Variance Table
##
Response: Percentage
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
Pair 5 938.7 187.75 0.7858 0.563
Residuals 78 18636.7 238.93

$$SSE = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$SST = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - 0)^2$$

$$SSR = SST - SSE = \sum_{ij} (\hat{y}_i - 0)^2$$

$$SSE = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$SST = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2$$

$$SSR = SST - SSE = \sum_{ij} (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

$$SSE = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i)^2$$
$$SST = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - 0)^2$$

$$SSR = SST - SSE = \sum_{ij} (\hat{y}_i - 0)^2$$

$$SSE = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i)^2$$
$$SST = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2$$

$$SSR = SST - SSE = \sum_{ij} (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

Null Hypothesis: $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = 0$ F-statistic: $\frac{MSR}{MSE}$ $MSR = SSR/df_{SSR}$ is potentially huge Null Hypothesis: $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = 0$ F-statistic: $\frac{MSR}{MSE}$

A Fix

- Suppose we ran ANOVA fixing the intercept at 0
- What we want is to test the hypothesis that *Null Hypothesis:* $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \overline{y}$
- Compute the right SSR by hand!
- (in R)