Probability and Stochastic Processes I - Lecture 23

Michael Evans
University of Toronto
http://www.utstat.utoronto.ca/mikevans/stac62/STAC622023.html

2023

IV.2 Convergence in Probability

Definition IV.2.1 The sequence X_n of r.v.'s *converges in probability* to r.v. X if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P(|X_n-X|>\delta)=0$$

for any $\delta > 0$ and we write $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$.

- this is different than $X_n \stackrel{wp1}{\longrightarrow} X$ which says

$$P(\{\omega: \lim_{n\to\infty} X_n(\omega)\neq X(\omega)\})=0$$

while $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ says for any $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ such that for all $n > N_{\delta,\varepsilon}$

$$P(\{\omega: |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \delta\}) < \varepsilon$$



Proposition IV.2.1 (i) $X_n \stackrel{wp1}{\to} X$ implies $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ and (ii) $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ implies $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$.

Proof: (i) Let
$$A_{m,n} = \{\omega: |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > 1/m\}$$
 so

$$\limsup_{n} A_{m,n} = \{\omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > 1/m \text{ for infinitely many } n\}.$$

By hypothesis

$$0 = P(\limsup_{n} A_{m,n}) = P(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_{m,n})$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_{m,n}) \ge \lim_{k \to \infty} P(A_{m,k})$$

so $\lim_{k\to\infty} P(A_{m,k}) = 0$ which implies $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$.

Michael Evans University of Toronto http:// Probability and Stochastic Processes I - Lectu

(ii) For $\delta > 0$,

$$F_{X_n}(x) = P(X_n \le x, X \le x + \delta) + P(X_n \le x, X > x + \delta)$$

$$\le F_X(x + \delta) + P(|X_n - X| > \delta) \text{ and}$$

$$F_X(x - \delta) = P(X_n \le x, X \le x - \delta) + P(X_n > x, X \le x - \delta)$$

$$\le F_{X_n}(x) + P(|X_n - X| > \delta).$$

Therefore

$$F_{X_n}(x) - F_X(x) \leq F_X(x+\delta) - F_X(x-\delta) + P(|X_n - X| > \delta)$$

$$F_X(x) - F_{X_n}(x) \leq F_X(x+\delta) - F_X(x-\delta) + P(|X_n - X| > \delta).$$

Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $N_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ s.t. for all $n > N_{\delta \varepsilon}$, $P(|X_n - X| > \delta) < \varepsilon/2$ and so

$$|F_X(x) - F_{X_n}(x)| \le |F_X(x+\delta) - F_X(x-\delta)| + \varepsilon/2$$

If x is a cty point of F_X choose δ s.t. $|F_X(x+\delta) - F_X(x-\delta)| \le \varepsilon/2$ and so $|F_X(x) - F_{X_n}(x)| \le \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary this implies the result.



note $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ does not imply $X_n \stackrel{wp1}{\to} X$ (example is complicated)

Example IV.2.1 $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X$ does not imply $X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} X$

- put
$$X_n=Z\sim N(0,1)$$
, $X=-Z\sim N(0,1)$ so $X_n\stackrel{d}{\to} X$ but
$$P(|X_n-X|>\delta)=P(2|Z|>\delta)=2(1-\Phi(\delta/2))$$

and so $X_n \stackrel{P}{\nrightarrow} X \blacksquare$

Proposition IV.2.2 $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \mu$ iff $X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} \mu$.

Proof: Prop IV.2.1(ii) establishes that if $X_n \xrightarrow{P} \mu$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{d} \mu$. For the other direction,

$$\begin{split} P(|X_n - \mu| & \leq \delta) = P(\mu - \delta \leq X_n \leq \mu + \delta) \\ & = (F_{X_n}(\mu + \delta) - F_{X_n}(\mu - \delta)) + P(X_n = \mu - \delta) \\ \text{and } P(X_n = \mu - \delta) \leq F_{X_n}(\mu - \delta) \to 0 \\ P(|X_n - \mu| \leq \delta) \to 1 - 0 + 0 = 1 \end{split}$$

since $\mu \pm \delta$ are cty pts of limiting dist., which implies $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$.

Proposition IV.2.3 (*Slutsky's Theorem*) If $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ and $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c$, then (i) $X_n + Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X + c$ (ii) $X_n Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} cX$ (iii) and provided $c \neq 0, X_n / Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X / c$. Proof: Accept.

Proposition IV.2.4 If $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c$ and $h: (R^1, \mathcal{B}^1) \to (R^1, \mathcal{B}^1)$ is continuous at c, then $h(X_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} h(c)$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists $\delta>0$ s.t. $|h(x)-h(c)|\leq \varepsilon$ whenever $|x-c|\leq \delta$. Therefore

$$P(|h(X_n) - h(c)| > \varepsilon) \le P(|X_n - c| > \delta) \to 0.$$

Example IV.2.2

- suppose X_1, X_2, \ldots is an i.i.d. sequence from a distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 so by CLT

$$\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \text{ and if}$$

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i}-\bar{X})^{2}}{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}^{2}-\bar{X}^{2}\right) \text{ then}$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}^{2} \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^{2} + \mu^{2} \text{ by WLLN,}$$

$$\bar{X}^{2} \xrightarrow{d} \mu^{2} \text{ by Slutsky (ii) and } \frac{n}{n-1} \xrightarrow{wp1} 1, \text{ so}$$

$$S^{2} \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^{2} \text{ by Slutsky and } S \xrightarrow{d} \sigma \text{ by Prop. IV.2.4}$$

- therefore $T_n=rac{\sqrt{n}(ar{X}-\mu)}{S}=rac{\sigma}{S}rac{\sqrt{n}(ar{X}-\mu)}{\sigma}\stackrel{d}{ o} N(0,1)$ by Slutsky
- when X_1, X_2, \ldots is an i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ sequence this implies

$$\mathsf{Student}(n) \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

Michael Evans University of Toronto http://Probability and Stochastic Processes I - Lectu

IV.3 Convergence in Expectation

Definition IV.3.1 The sequence X_n of r.v.'s converges in expectation of order $r \ (\geq 1)$ to r.v. X if $E(|X_n|^r) < \infty$ for every n and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E(|X_n-X|^r)=0$$

and we write $X_n \stackrel{r}{\to} X$.

Proposition IV.3.1 (i) If $X_n \stackrel{r}{\to} X$, then $X_n \stackrel{s}{\to} X$ for any 1 < s < r. (ii) If $X_n \xrightarrow{1} X$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$.

Proof: (i) Note that $d^2x^p/dx^2 = p(p-1)x^{p-2} > 0$ when x > 0, p > 1and so $x^{r/s}$ is convex on $[0, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$E(|X_n - X|^r) = E((|X_n - X|^s)^{\frac{r}{s}}) \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\geq} (E(|X_n - X|^s))^{\frac{r}{s}}$$

which implies the result. (ii) For any $\delta > 0$

$$P(|X_n - X| > \delta) \stackrel{\mathsf{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E(|X_n - X|)}{\delta} \to 0. \blacksquare$$

- the converses to Prop. IV.3.1 are false
- the most important case is r=2 and we let

$$L^{2}(P) = \{X : X \text{ is a r.v. and } E(X^{2}) < \infty\}$$

- define $<\cdot,\cdot>: L^2(P) \times L^2(P) \to R^1$ by < X, Y> = E(XY) and note

$$(E(XY))^2 \overset{\mathsf{Cauchy-Schwartz}}{\leq} E(X^2)E(Y^2) < \infty$$

and let $||X|| = \langle X, X \rangle^{1/2}$

Proposition IV.3.2 (i) If $X, Y \in L^2(P)$, then $a + bX + cY \in L^2(P)$ for all constants a, b, c. (ii) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on $L^2(P)$ (iii) $||\cdot||$ is a norm on $L^2(P)$.

Proof: **Exercise IV.3.1.**

- this leads to a geometry of r.v.'s and the angle θ between X - E(X), $Y - E(Y) \in L^2(P)$ satisfies

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\langle X - E(X), Y - E(Y) \rangle}{||X - E(X)|| \, ||Y - E(Y)||} = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{Sd(X)Sd(Y)} = Corr(X, Y)$$

Proposition IV.3.3 (L^2 Law of large Numbers) If X_n is an i.i.d. sequence in $L^2(P)$ then $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{2} E(X_1)$.

Proof:

$$E\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-E(X_{1})\right)^{2}\right)=Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)=\frac{Var(X_{1})}{n}\rightarrow0.\ \blacksquare$$

- in time series many s.p.'s are defined in terms of series of r.v.'s that converge in \mathcal{L}^2
- **note** $X_n \xrightarrow{2} X$ implies $X_n \xrightarrow{1} X$ implies $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ implies $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$