

# Probability and Stochastic Processes I - Lecture 21

Michael Evans

University of Toronto

<http://www.utstat.utoronto.ca/mikevans/stac62/STAC622023.html>

2023

## III.9 Generating Functions and the Characteristic Function

- consider a sequence  $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  of real numbers, then the *generating function* of the sequence is defined by

$$G(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$$

provided the series converges for all  $t \in (-h_G, h_G)$  with  $h_G > 0$  as then

$$\left. \frac{d^k G(t)}{dt^k} \right|_{t=0} = a_k k!$$

- not all sequences have generating functions (e.g.  $a_n = n!$ )

- if  $G(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$ ,  $H(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t^i$  are generating functions, then

$$K(t) = G(t)H(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i t^i \text{ where } c_i = a_0 b_i + a_1 b_{i-1} + \cdots + a_i b_0$$

is the generating function of  $\{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  where  $h_K = \min\{h_G, h_H\}$

**Abel's Theorem** If  $G(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$  is finite in  $(-1, 1)$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i$  converges (limit could be  $\infty$ ), then  $\lim_{t \uparrow 1} G(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i$ .

Proof: See a book on Analysis.

## Probability Generating Functions

**Definition III.9.1** If  $X$  is a r.v. such that  $P_X(\mathbb{N}_0) = 1$ , then  $G_X(t) = E(t^X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(X = i) t^i$  for  $|t| \leq 1$  is the *probability generating function* of  $X$ . ■

**Proposition III.9.1** If  $G_X(t) = G_Y(t)$  for all  $t \in (-h, h)$  for some  $h > 0$ , then  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same probability distribution.

Proof: Since  $G_X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(X = i) t^i$  for  $|t| \leq 1$ , then for  $|t| < 1$

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left. \frac{d^k G_X(t)}{dt^k} \right|_{t=0} = P(X = k) = \frac{1}{k!} \left. \frac{d^k G_Y(t)}{dt^k} \right|_{t=0} = P(Y = k). \quad \blacksquare$$

- so  $G_X$  completely specifies the distribution of  $X$

**Proposition III.9.2** (i) If  $X, Y$  are stat. ind. r.v.'s with pgf's  $G_X, G_Y$ , then  $G_{X+Y}(t) = G_X(t)G_Y(t)$ .

(ii) If  $X$  has pgf  $G_X$  and the  $k$ -th factorial moment

$$\mu_{[k]} = E(X(X-1)\cdots(X-k+1)) = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} i(i-1)\cdots(i-k+1)P(X=i)$$

of  $X$  exists then  $\lim_{t \uparrow 1} \frac{d^k G_X(t)}{dt^k} = \mu_{[k]}$ .

(iii) (*Compound distributions*) If the r.v.'s  $\{X_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  are i.i.d. with pgf  $G_X$ , stat. ind. of  $N$  with pgf  $G_N$ , then  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$  has pgf  $G_Y(t) = G_N(G_X(t))$ .

Proof: (i)

$$G_{X+Y}(t) = E(t^{X+Y}) = E(t^X t^Y) \stackrel{\text{ind}}{=} E(t^X)E(t^Y) = G_X(t)G_Y(t).$$

(ii) When  $|t| < 1$ , then

$$\frac{d^k G_X(t)}{dt^k} = \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(X=i)t^i = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} i(i-1)\cdots(i-k+1)P(X=i)t^{i-k}$$

is finite and by Abel's Thm

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \uparrow 1} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} i(i-1)\cdots(i-k+1)P(X=i)t^{i-k} \\ &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} i(i-1)\cdots(i-k+1)P(X=i) = \mu_{[k]}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} G_Y(t) &= E(t^Y) = E\left(t^{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i}\right) = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^N t^{X_i}\right) \\ &\stackrel{TTE}{=} E\left(E\left(\prod_{i=1}^N t^{X_i} \mid N\right)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(N=n)E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n t^{X_i}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(N=n)G_X^n(t) = G_N(G_X(t)). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

### Example III.9.1 Poisson

- if  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  with  $\lambda > 0$ , then

$$p_X(i) = \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} e^{-\lambda} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$G_X(t) = E(t^X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t\lambda)^i}{i!} = e^{-\lambda} e^{t\lambda} = e^{\lambda(t-1)}$$

- so if  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1)$  ind. of  $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_2)$ , then

$$G_{X+Y}(t) = G_X(t)G_Y(t) = e^{\lambda_1(t-1)}e^{\lambda_2(t-1)} = e^{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(t-1)}$$

and therefore  $X + Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$

- if  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , then since  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t\lambda)^i}{i!}$  converges for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ , then  $\mu_{[k]}$  is finite for all  $k$  and

$$\mu_1 = \mu_{[1]} = \lim_{t \uparrow 1} \frac{dG_X(t)}{dt} = \lim_{t \uparrow 1} \lambda e^{\lambda(t-1)} = \lambda$$

$$\mu_{[2]} = \lim_{t \uparrow 1} \frac{d^2 G_X(t)}{dt^2} = \lim_{t \uparrow 1} \lambda^2 e^{\lambda(t-1)} = \lambda^2$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mu_{[2]} - \mu_{[1]}(\mu_{[1]} - 1) = \lambda^2 - \lambda(\lambda - 1) = \lambda \blacksquare$$

**Exercise III.9.1** If  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ , then find  $G_X(t)$  and use this to obtain the pgf for a binomial( $n, p$ ) distribution.

**Exercise III.9.2** If  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$ , then find  $G_X(t)$  and use this to obtain the mean and variance of  $X$ .

**Exercise III.9.3** If  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  independent of  $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim -1 + 2\text{Bernoulli}(p)$  and  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ , determine  $E(Y)$ .

## Moment Generating Function and Characteristic Function

**Definition III.9.2** (i) If  $\mathbf{X} \in R^k$  is a random vector, then  $m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = E(\exp(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X}))$  is the *moment generating function* of  $\mathbf{X}$  provided the expectation is finite for all  $\mathbf{t} \in B_h(\mathbf{0})$ , for some  $h > 0$ . (ii) The characteristic function of  $\mathbf{X}$  is given by  $c_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = E(\exp(i\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X}))$  for all  $\mathbf{t} \in R^k$ . ■

-  $m_{\mathbf{X}}$  may not exist but since  $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$  and  $|\cos x| \leq 1, |\sin x| \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & E(|\exp(i\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X})|) \\ &= E(|\cos(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X}) + i \sin(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X})|) \leq E(|\cos(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X})|) + E(|\sin(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X})|) \leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

so  $c_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = E(\cos(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X})) + iE(\sin(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X}))$  always exists (may be complex-valued)

- if  $P_{\mathbf{X}}(B) = P_{\mathbf{X}}(-B)$  then  $P_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X} \leq x) = P_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X} \geq -x)$  and  $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X}$  has a probability distribution symmetric about 0 and since  $\sin(-x) = -\sin(x)$ , this implies  $E(\sin(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X})) = 0$  and  $c_{\mathbf{X}}$  is real-valued



**Proposition III.9.3 (Uniqueness)** (i) If  $m_{\mathbf{X}}, m_{\mathbf{Y}}$  exist and  $m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = m_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t})$  for all  $\mathbf{t} \in B_h(\mathbf{0})$ , for some  $h > 0$ , then  $P_{\mathbf{X}} = P_{\mathbf{Y}}$ . (ii) If  $c_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = c_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t})$  for all  $\mathbf{t} \in R^k$  then  $P_{\mathbf{X}} = P_{\mathbf{Y}}$ .

Proof: Accept.

- so if we know  $m_{\mathbf{X}}$  or  $c_{\mathbf{X}}$  and we recognize it then we know the distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$

- there are inversion results that give expressions for the cdf of  $\mathbf{X}$  computed from  $m_{\mathbf{X}}$  or  $c_{\mathbf{X}}$

**Definition III.9.3** If  $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ -th mixed moment of random vector  $\mathbf{X} \in R^k$  is defined by

$$\mu_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = E(X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_k^{i_k})$$

whenever this expectation exists. ■

**Proposition III.9.4** If  $i_1 \leq j_1, \dots, i_k \leq j_k$  and  $E(|X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_k^{j_k}|) < \infty$  for all  $(j_1, \dots, j_k)$  satisfying  $j_1 + \cdots + j_k = j$  then  $\mu_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  is finite.

Proof: **Exercise III.9.4** Do the case when  $k = 2$ .

**Proposition III.9.5** If  $m_{\mathbf{X}}$  exists, then all the moments of  $\mathbf{X}$  are finite and

$$\mu_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \left. \frac{\partial^k m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial^{i_1} t_1 \cdots \partial^{i_k} t_k} \right|_{\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}}.$$

Proof: Consider the case when  $k = 1$ . Then for  $t \in B_h(0)$

$$\begin{aligned} m_X(t) &= E(\exp(tX)) = E(I_{\{X \geq 0\}} \exp(tX_+)) + E(I_{\{X < 0\}} \exp(-tX_-)) \\ &= m_{X_+}(t) - P(X < 0) + m_{X_-}(-t) - P(X \geq 0) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

(since, for example,  $P(X_+ = 0) = P(X = 0) + P(X < 0)$ ) so  $m_{X_+}$  and  $m_{X_-}$  exist which implies  $m_{|X|}(t) = E(\exp(tX_+ + tX_-)) = m_{X_+}(t) - P(X < 0) + m_{X_-}(t) - P(X \geq 0) < \infty$  and so  $m_{|X|}$  exists. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{t^j X^j}{j!} \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j X^j}{j!} = \exp(tX) \text{ so} \\ |Y_n| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{|t|^j |X|^j}{j!} \uparrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^k |X|^k}{k!} = \exp(|t||X|). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m_{|X|}$  exists  $E(|X|^k) \leq \frac{k!}{|t|^k} m_{|X|}(|t|) < \infty$  and so all moments of  $X$  are finite. Furthermore, by DCT

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(Y_n) \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j \mu_j}{j!} = m_X(t)$$

which implies

$$\mu_j = \left. \frac{d^j m_X(t)}{dt^j} \right|_{t=0}.$$

For the general case put  $\mathbf{Z} = (|X_1|, \dots, |X_k|)$  and a similar argument shows that  $m_{\mathbf{Z}}$  exists. Put

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(t_1 X_1 + \dots + t_k X_k)^j}{j!} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\substack{i_1 \geq 0 \dots i_k \geq 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = j}} \binom{j}{i_1 \dots i_k} t_1^{i_1} \dots t_k^{i_k} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_k^{i_k} \\ |Y_n| &\leq \exp(|t_1| |X_1| + \dots + t_k |X_k|) \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\mu_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  is finite and by DCT

$$E(Y_n) \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i_1 \geq 0 \dots i_k \geq 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_k = j}} \frac{t_1^{i_1} \dots t_k^{i_k}}{i_1! \dots i_k!} \mu_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}). \blacksquare$$

**Proposition III.9.6** If  $m_{\mathbf{X}}$  exists, then  $c_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = m_{\mathbf{X}}(i\mathbf{t})$ .

Proof: Accept.

**Proposition III.9.7** If  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in R^k$  are stat. ind. with mgf's  $m_{\mathbf{X}}, m_{\mathbf{Y}}$  (cf's  $c_{\mathbf{X}}, c_{\mathbf{Y}}$ ) then  $\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}$  has mgf  $m_{\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t}) = m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t})m_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t})$  when  $m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t})$  and  $m_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t})$  are finite and cf  $c_{\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t}) = c_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t})c_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t})$ .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t}) &= E(\exp(it'(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}))) = E(\exp(it'\mathbf{X}) \exp(it'\mathbf{Y})) \\ &= E(\exp(it'\mathbf{X}))E(\exp(it'\mathbf{Y})) = c_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t})c_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t}). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

### Example III.9.2 Normal

- suppose  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_k(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , then  $\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{Z}$  where  $\mathbf{Z} \sim N_k(\mathbf{0}, I)$  so  $Z_1, \dots, Z_k \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{t}) &= E(\exp(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{Z})) = E(\exp(t_1 Z_1 + \dots + t_k Z_k)) \\ &= E\left(\prod_{i=1}^k \exp(t_i Z_i)\right) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{=} \prod_{i=1}^k E(\exp(t_i Z_i)) = \prod_{i=1}^k m_Z(t_i) \text{ where} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_Z(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(tz) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-z^2/2) dz \\ &= \exp(t^2/2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-(z-t)^2/2) dz = \exp(t^2/2) \end{aligned}$$

so  $m_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp(\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{t}/2)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) &= E(\exp(\mathbf{t}'(\boldsymbol{\mu} + \Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{Z}))) = \exp(\mathbf{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu}) E(\exp(\mathbf{t}'\Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{Z})) \\ &= \exp(\mathbf{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu}) E(\exp((\Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{t})' \mathbf{Z})) = \exp(\mathbf{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu}) \exp(\mathbf{t}'\Sigma \mathbf{t}/2) \\ &= \exp(\mathbf{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{t}'\Sigma \mathbf{t}/2) \end{aligned}$$

$$c_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp(i\mathbf{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{t}'\Sigma \mathbf{t}/2) \text{ using Prop. III.9.6}$$

- so if  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$  is a sample from the  $N_k(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  distribution and

$$\mathbf{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i = \text{sample mean}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{t}) &= E \left( \exp \left( \mathbf{t}' \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i \right) \right) = E \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left( \left( \frac{\mathbf{t}}{n} \right)' \mathbf{X}_i \right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{i.i.d.}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}/n) = \exp(\mathbf{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{t}'\Sigma\mathbf{t}/2n) \text{ and by Uniqueness} \\ \mathbf{Y} &\sim N_k(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma/n) \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition III.9.8** If  $\mathbf{X} \in R^k$  is a random vector and  $\mathbf{r}'\mathbf{X}$  is normally distributed for every constant  $\mathbf{r} \in R^k$ , then  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_k(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  for some  $(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ .  
 Proof: We have that  $E(\mathbf{r}'\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{r}'E(\mathbf{X})$  and  $\text{Var}(\mathbf{r}'\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{r}'\text{Var}(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{r}$  and so put  $(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (E(\mathbf{X}), \text{Var}(\mathbf{X}))$ . Now

$$m_{\mathbf{r}'\mathbf{X}}(t) = \exp(\mathbf{r}'t\boldsymbol{\mu} + t^2\mathbf{r}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{r}/2) = m_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{r}t)$$

which implies the result. ■

### Example III.9.3 Cauchy

- suppose  $X \sim \text{Cauchy}$ , then  $E(X)$  does not exist so  $m_X$  does not exist
- but using contour integration it can be shown that  $c_X(t) = \exp(-|t|)$
- now suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a sample from the Cauchy and  $Y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
- then

$$c_Y(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(-|t|/n) = \exp(-|t|)$$

so by Uniqueness  $Y \sim \text{Cauchy}$  ■

- note that any cf  $c_X$  satisfies  $c_X(0) = 1$  and by DCT

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} c_X(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E(\cos(tX)) + i \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E(\sin(tX)) = 1$$

so  $c_X$  is continuous at 0

- if  $c_X$  is also real then  $c_X(-t) = E(\cos(-tX)) = E(\cos(tX)) = c_X(t)$

so  $c_X$  is symmetric and for any  $n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_n$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n x_j x_k c_X(t_j - t_k) = E \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \exp(it_j X) \right|^2 \right) \geq 0$$

- therefore such a  $c_X$  can serve as the autocorrelation function of a weakly stationary process

- for any constant  $a$ , then  $c_X(t) = \exp(-a|t|)$  is such an autocorrelation function as is  $c_X(t) = \exp(-a^2|t|)$



**Exercise III.9.4** If  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$  are mut. stat. ind. with  $\mathbf{X}_i \sim N_{k_i}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i)$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in R^m$ ,  $C_i \in R^{m \times k_i}$  are constant, then determine the distribution of  $Y = \mathbf{a} + \sum C_i \mathbf{X}_i$ .

**Exercise III.9.5** E&R 3.4.13

**Exercise III.9.6** E&R 3.4.16

**Exercise III.9.7** E&R 3.4.20

**Exercise III.9.8** E&R 3.4.29