



## MMF1952Y: Stochastic Calculus Main Results

© 2006 Prof. S. Jaimungal

Department of Statistics and

Mathematical Finance Program

University of Toronto

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009



## Probability Spaces

- A probability space is a triple  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$  where
  - $\Omega$  is the set of all possible outcomes
  - $\mathbb{P}$  is a probability measure
  - $\mathcal{F}$  is a sigma-algebra telling us which

## ● ● ● | Stochastic Integration

- A **diffusion or Ito process**  $X_t$  can be “approximated” by its local dynamics through a driving Brownian motion  $W_t$ :

$$X_{t+\Delta t} - X_t = \mu(X_t, t) \Delta t + \sigma(X_t, t) [W_{t+dt} - W_t]$$

↑
↑
←

**Adapted drift process**
**Adapted volatility process**
**fluctuations**

- $\mathcal{F}_t^X$  denotes the **information** generated by the process  $X_s$  on the interval  $[0, t]$

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Stochastic Integration

- If a random variable  $Z$  is known given  $\mathcal{F}_t^X$  then one says,  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_t^X$  and  $Z$  is said to be **measurable** w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_t^X$
- If for every  $t \geq 0$  a stochastic process  $Y_t$  is known given  $\mathcal{F}_t^X$  then,  $Y_t$  is said to be **adapted** to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}^X := \{\mathcal{F}_t^X\}_{t \geq 0}$
- The “formula”

$$X_{t+\Delta t} - X_t = \mu(X_t, t) \Delta t + \sigma(X_t, t) [W_{t+dt} - W_t]$$

is an intuitive construction of a general diffusion process from a Brownian motion process

## ● ● ● | Stochastic Integration

- **Stochastic differential equations** are generated by “taking the limit” as  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t, t) dt + \sigma(X_t, t) dW_t$$

- A natural integral representation of this expression is

$$X_t - X_0 = \int_0^t \mu(X_s, s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, s) dW_s$$

- The first integral can be interpreted as an ordinary **Riemann-Stieltjes integral**
- The second term cannot be treated as such, since path-wise  $W_t$  is **nowhere differentiable!**

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

5

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Stochastic Integration

- Instead define the integral as the **limit of approximating sums**
- Given a **simple process  $g(s)$**  [ piecewise-constant with jumps at  $a < t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < b$ ] the stochastic integral is defined as

$$\int_a^b g(s) dW_s = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g(t_k) [W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k}]$$

↑  
**Left end valuation**

- Idea...
  - Create a sequence of approximating simple processes which converge to the given process in the  $L^2$  sense
  - Define the stochastic integral as the limit of the approximating processes

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

6

## ● ● ● | Martingales

- Glimpses of **Martingales** appeared in the discrete-time setting
- The **expectation** of a random variable  $Y$  on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Y] = \int_{\Omega} Y(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$$

- Given a stochastic variable  $Y$  the symbol

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Y|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

represents the **conditional expectation** of  $Y$  given  $\mathcal{F}_t^X$ , i.e. the information available from time to  $\mathbf{0}$  up to time  $\mathbf{t}$

- This expectation is itself, in general, a **random variable**

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

7

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Martingales

- Glimpses of **Martingales** appeared in the discrete-time setting
- First define conditional expectations with respect to a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^X\}_{t \geq 0}$

- Given a stochastic variable  $Y$  the symbol

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

represents the **conditional expectation** of  $Y$  given  $\mathcal{F}_t^X$ , i.e. the information available from time to  $\mathbf{0}$  up to time  $\mathbf{t}$

- This expectation is itself, in general, a **random variable**

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

8

## ● ● ● | Martingales

- Two important properties of conditional expectations

- **Iterated expectations**: for  $s < t$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_t]|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

- double expectation where the inner expectation is on a larger information set reduces to conditioning on the smaller information set

- **Factorization** of measurable random variables : if  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_t^X$

$$\mathbb{E}[ZY|\mathcal{F}_t] = Z \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

- If  $Z$  is known given the information set, it factors out of the expectation

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Martingales

- A stochastic process  $X_t$  is called an  **$\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale** if

1.  $X_t$  is **adapted** to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$
2. For every  $t \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}[|X(t)|] < \infty$$

3. For every  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $0 \leq t < s$

$$\mathbb{E}[X(s)|\mathcal{F}_t] = X(t)$$

This last condition states that the **expected future value is its value now**

## ● ● ● | Martingales : Examples

- **Standard Brownian motion** is a Martingale

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W_T | \mathcal{F}_t] &= \mathbb{E}[(W_T - W_t) + W_t | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(W_T - W_t) | \mathcal{F}_t] + W_t = W_t\end{aligned}$$

- **Stochastic integrals** are Martingales

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T g(s) dW_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t g(s) dW_s + \int_t^T g(s) dW_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &= \int_0^t g(s) dW_s + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T g(s) dW_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &= \int_0^t g(s) dW_s\end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

11

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Martingales : Examples

- A stochastic process satisfying an SDE with **no drift term** is a Martingale

$$dX_t = g(t) dW_t$$

- A class of **Geometric Brownian motions** are Martingales:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}c^2 T + cW_T} \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}c^2 t + cW_t} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}c^2 (T-t) + c(W_T - W_t)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}c^2 t + cW_t}\end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

12

## ● ● ● | Ito's Lemma

- **Ito's Lemma:** If a stochastic variable  $X_t$  satisfies the SDE

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t, t) dt + \sigma(X_t, t) dW_t$$

then given any function  $f(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{t})$  of the stochastic variable  $\mathbf{X}_t$  which is twice differentiable in its first argument and once in its second,

$$df(X_t, t) = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu(X_t, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(X_t, t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_t^2} \right) f(X_t, t) \right] dt + \left[ \sigma(X_t, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_t} f \right] dW_t$$

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Ito's Lemma...

- Can be obtained heuristically by performing a Taylor expansion in  $\mathbf{X}_t$  and  $\mathbf{t}$ , keeping terms of order  $d\mathbf{t}$  and  $(d\mathbf{W}_t)^2$  and replacing

$$(dW_t)^2 \rightarrow dt, \quad (dt)^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad dW_t dt \rightarrow 0$$

- Quadratic variation of the pure diffusion is  $O(dt)$ !
- Cross variation of  $dt$  and  $dW_t$  is  $O(dt^{3/2})$
- Quadratic variation of  $dt$  terms is  $O(dt^2)$

## ● ● ● | Ito's Lemma...

$$\begin{aligned}
 df(X_t, t) &= f(X_{t+dt}, t + dt) - f(X_t, t) \\
 &= f(X_t + dX_t, t + dt) - f(X_t, t) \\
 &\approx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x_t, t) dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_t} f(x_t, t) dx_t + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_t \partial t} f(x_t, t) dx_t dt \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_t^2} f(x_t, t) dx_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f(x_t, t) dt^2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (dX_t)^2 &= (\mu dt + \sigma dW_t)^2 \\
 &= \mu^2 dt^2 + \sigma^2 (dW_t)^2 + 2\mu\sigma dt dW_t \approx \sigma^2 (dW_t)^2 \leftrightarrow \sigma^2 dt
 \end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

15

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Ito's Lemma : Examples

- Suppose  $S_t$  satisfies the geometric Brownian motion SDE

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t$$

then Ito's lemma gives

$$d \ln S_t = \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) dt + \sigma dW_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln S_t - \ln S_0 = \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)t + \sigma W_t$$

Therefore  $\ln S_t$  satisfies a Brownian motion SDE and we have

$$S_t = S_0 \exp \left\{ \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)t + \sigma W_t \right\}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

16



## ● ● ● | Ito's Lemma : Examples

- Suppose  $S_t$  satisfies the geometric Brownian motion SDE
- What SDE does  $S_t^\beta$  satisfy?

$$d(S_t^\beta) = \left(0 + \mu S_t \beta S_t^{\beta-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \beta(\beta-1) S_t^{\beta-2}\right) dt + \sigma S_t \beta S_t^{\beta-1} dW_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d(S_t^\beta)}{S_t^\beta} = \beta \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\beta-1)\sigma^2\right) dt + \beta\sigma dW_t$$

- Therefore,  $S^\beta(t)$  is also a GBM with new parameters:

$$\frac{d(S_t^\beta)}{S_t^\beta} = \tilde{\mu} dt + \tilde{\sigma} dW_t$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

17

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Dolean-Dade's exponential

- The **Dolean-Dade's exponential**  $\mathcal{E}(Y_t)$  of a stochastic process  $Y_t$  is the solution to the SDE:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}(Y_t)}{\mathcal{E}(Y_t)} = dY_t$$

- If we write

$$dY_t = \mu_Y(t) dt + \sigma_Y(t) dW_t$$

- Then,

$$\mathcal{E}(Y_t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \left( \mu_Y(s) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_Y(s)^2 \right) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_Y(s) dW_s \right\}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

18

## ● ● ● | Quadratic Variation

- In Finance we often encounter relative changes

$$\frac{dX_t}{X_t} = \mu_X(X_t, Y_t, t) dt + \sigma_X(X_t, Y_t, t) dW_t$$

$$\frac{dY_t}{Y_t} = \mu_Y(X_t, Y_t, t) dt + \sigma_Y(X_t, Y_t, t) dW_t$$

- The **quadratic variation** of the increments of X and Y can be computed by calculating the expected value of the product

$$d[X, Y]_t = \mathbb{E}[dX_t dY_t | \mathcal{F}_t] = \sigma_X \sigma_Y X_t Y_t dt$$

of course, this is just a fudge, and to compute it correctly you must show that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\|\mathcal{T}\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (X(t_{j+1}) - X(t_j))(Y(t_{j+1}) - Y(t_j)) \\ = \int_0^t \sigma_X(s) X(s) \sigma_Y(s) Y(s) ds \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

19

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Ito's Product and Quotient Rules

- **Ito's product rule** is the analog of the Leibniz product rule for standard calculus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(X_t Y_t)}{X_t Y_t} &= \frac{dX_t}{X_t} + \frac{dY_t}{Y_t} + \frac{d[X, Y]_t}{X_t Y_t} \\ &= (\mu_X + \mu_Y + \sigma_X \sigma_Y) dt + (\sigma_X + \sigma_Y) dW_t \end{aligned}$$

- **Ito's quotient rule** is the analog of the Leibniz quotient rule for standard calculus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(X_t/Y_t)}{X_t/Y_t} &= \frac{dX_t}{X_t} - \frac{dY_t}{Y_t} + \frac{d[Y, Y]_t}{Y_t^2} - \frac{d[X, Y]_t}{X_t Y_t} \\ &= (\mu_X - \mu_Y + \sigma_Y^2 - \sigma_X \sigma_Y) dt + (\sigma_X - \sigma_Y) dW_t \end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

20

## ● ● ● | Ito's Product and Quotient Rules

- We often encounter the inverse of a process
- Ito's quotient rule implies

$$\frac{d(1/Y_t)}{1/Y_t} = (-\mu_Y + \sigma_Y^2) dt - \sigma_Y dW_t$$

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Multidimensional Ito Processes

- Given a set of diffusion processes  $\mathbf{X}_t^{(i)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ )

$$\frac{dX_t^{(1)}}{X_t^{(1)}} = \mu^{(1)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dt + \vec{\sigma}^{(1)}(\vec{X}_t, t) \cdot d\vec{W}_t$$

$$\frac{dX_t^{(2)}}{X_t^{(2)}} = \mu^{(2)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dt + \vec{\sigma}^{(2)}(\vec{X}_t, t) \cdot d\vec{W}_t$$

⋮ ⋮

$$\frac{dX_t^{(n)}}{X_t^{(n)}} = \mu^{(n)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dt + \vec{\sigma}^{(n)}(\vec{X}_t, t) \cdot d\vec{W}_t$$

## ● ● ● | Multidimensional Ito Processes

where  $\mu^{(i)}$  and  $\sigma^{(i)}$  are  $\mathcal{F}_t$  – adapted processes and

$$\vec{\sigma}^{(i)}(\vec{X}_t, t) \equiv (\sigma^{(i,1)}(\vec{X}_t, t), \dots, \sigma^{(i,m)}(\vec{X}_t, t))$$

$$\vec{W}_t \equiv (W_t^{(1)}, \dots, W_t^{(m)})$$

$$d[W_t^{(i)}, W_t^{(j)}] = \delta_{ij} dt$$

$$\text{and } \delta_{ij} \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

In this representation the Wiener processes  $W_t^{(i)}$  are all independent

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

23

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Multidimensional Ito Processes

- Notice that the quadratic variation of between any pair of X's is:

$$\begin{aligned} d[X_t^{(i)}, X_t^{(j)}] &\equiv \mathbb{E} [dX_t^{(i)} dX_t^{(j)} | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [(X^{(i)} \vec{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot d\vec{W}_t)(X^{(j)} \vec{\sigma}^{(j)} \cdot d\vec{W}_t) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= X^{(i)} X^{(j)} \vec{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(j)} dt \end{aligned}$$

- Consequently, the correlation coefficient  $\rho_{ij}$  between the two processes and the volatilities of the processes are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_i &= \sqrt{\vec{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(i)}} = \|\vec{\sigma}^{(i)}\| \\ \rho_{ij} &= \frac{\vec{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(j)}}{\|\vec{\sigma}^{(i)}\| \|\vec{\sigma}^{(j)}\|} = \frac{\vec{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^{(j)}}{\tilde{\sigma}_i \tilde{\sigma}_j} \end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

24



## Multidimensional Ito Processes

- The diffusions  $X^{(i)}$  may also be written in terms of correlated diffusions as follows:

$$\frac{dX_t^{(1)}}{X_t^{(1)}} = \mu^{(1)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dt + \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dB_t^{(1)}$$

$$\frac{dX_t^{(2)}}{X_t^{(2)}} = \mu^{(2)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dt + \tilde{\sigma}^{(2)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dB_t^{(2)}$$

⋮

$$\frac{dX_t^{(n)}}{X_t^{(n)}} = \mu^{(n)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dt + \tilde{\sigma}^{(n)}(\vec{X}_t, t) dB_t^{(n)}$$

where  $d[B_t^{(i)}, B_t^{(j)}] = \rho_{ij} dt$

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009



## Multidimensional Ito's Lemma

- Given any function  $f(\mathbf{X}_t^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_t^{(n)}, t)$  that is twice differentiable in its first n-arguments and once in its last,

$$\begin{aligned} df(X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(n)}, t) &= \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^{(i)} X_t^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_t^{(i)}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_t^{(i)} X_t^{(j)} \tilde{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}^{(j)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_t^{(i)} \partial X_t^{(j)}} \right) f \right] dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial X_t^{(i)}} f \tilde{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot d\vec{W}_t \end{aligned}$$

## ● ● ● | Multidimensional Ito's Lemma

- Alternatively, one can write,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & df(X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(n)}, t) \\
 &= \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^{(i)} X_t^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_t^{(i)}} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_t^{(i)} X_t^{(j)} \tilde{\sigma}^{(i)} \tilde{\sigma}^{(j)} \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_t^{(i)} \partial X_t^{(j)}} \right) f \right] dt \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_t^{(i)}} \tilde{\sigma}^{(i)} dB_t^{(i)}
 \end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

27

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Multidimensional Ito rules

- Product rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d(X_t Y_t)}{X_t Y_t} &= \frac{dX_t}{X_t} + \frac{dY_t}{Y_t} + \frac{d[X, Y]_t}{X_t Y_t} \\
 &= (\mu_X + \mu_Y + \tilde{\sigma}_X \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_Y) dt + (\tilde{\sigma}_X + \tilde{\sigma}_Y) \cdot d\vec{W}_t \\
 &= (\mu_X + \mu_Y + \rho \sigma_X \sigma_Y) dt + \sigma_X dB_t^X + \sigma_Y dB_t^Y
 \end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

28

## ● ● ● | Multidimensional Ito rules

- Quotient rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(X_t/Y_t)}{X_t/Y_t} &= \frac{dX_t}{X_t} - \frac{dY_t}{Y_t} + \frac{d[Y, Y]_t}{Y_t Y_t} - \frac{d[X, Y]_t}{X_t Y_t} \\ &= (\mu_X - \mu_Y + \|\sigma_Y\|^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_X \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_Y) dt + (\tilde{\sigma}_X - \tilde{\sigma}_Y) \cdot d\vec{W}_t \\ &= (\mu_X - \mu_Y + \tilde{\sigma}_Y^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_X \tilde{\sigma}_Y \rho_{XY}) dt + \tilde{\sigma}_X dB_t^X - \tilde{\sigma}_Y dB_t^Y \end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

29

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Feynman – Kac Formula

- Suppose that a function  $f(\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, t)$  which is twice differentiable in all first  $n$ -arguments and once in  $t$  satisfies

$$r f = f_t + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^{(i)} X_t^{(i)} f_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_t^{(i)} X_t^{(j)} \tilde{\sigma}^{(i)} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}^{(j)} f_{i,j}$$

$$f(T) = H(\vec{X}(T))$$

then the **Feynman-Kac formula** provides a solution as :

$$f(\vec{Y}(t), t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ e^{-\int_t^T r(\vec{Y}(s), s) ds} H(\vec{Y}(T)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

30

## ● ● ● | Feynman – Kac Formula

- In the previous equation the stochastic process  $Y_1(t), \dots, Y_2(t)$  satisfy the SDE's

$$\frac{dY_t^{(i)}}{Y_t^{(i)}} = \mu^{(i)}(\vec{Y}_t, t) dt + \vec{\sigma}^{(i)}(\vec{Y}_t, t) \cdot d\vec{W}_t'$$

and  $W'(t)$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$  – Wiener processes.

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Self-Financing Strategies

- A **self-financing strategy** is one in which no money is added or removed from the portfolio at any point in time.
- All changes in the weights of the portfolio must net to zero value
- Given a portfolio with  $\Delta^{(i)}$  units of asset  $X^{(i)}$ , the Value process is

$$V_t = \sum_i \Delta_t^{(i)} X_t^{(i)}$$

- The total change is:

$$dV_t = \sum_i \left\{ d\Delta_t^{(i)} X_t^{(i)} + \Delta_t^{(i)} dX_t^{(i)} + d[\Delta^{(i)}, X^{(i)}]_t \right\}$$



## ● ● ● | Self-Financing Strategies

- If the strategy is **self-financing** then,

$$dV_t = \sum_i \Delta_t^{(i)} dX_t^{(i)}$$

- So that self-financing requires,

$$\sum_i \left\{ d\Delta_t^{(i)} X_t^{(i)} + d[\Delta^{(i)}, X^{(i)}]_t \right\} = 0$$

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Measure Changes

- The **Radon-Nikodym derivative** connects probabilities in one measure  $\mathbb{P}$  to probabilities in an **equivalent** measure  $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{A})] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{A}) \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right]$$

- This random variable has  $\mathbb{P}$ -expected value of 1

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right] = 1$$

- Its conditional expectation is a **martingale process**

$$\left( \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right)_t := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

## ● ● ● | Measure Changes

- Given an event  $\mathcal{A}$  which is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable, then,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{A}) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{A}) \left( \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right)_T | \mathcal{F}_t \right]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right)_T | \mathcal{F}_t \right]}$$

For Ito processes there exists an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted process s.t. the Radon-Nikodym derivative can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right)_t &= \mathcal{E} \left( \int_0^t \vec{\lambda}(\vec{W}_s, s) \cdot d\vec{W}_s \right) \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^u \|\vec{\lambda}(\vec{W}_s, s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^u \vec{\lambda}(\vec{W}_s, s) \cdot d\vec{W}_s \right\} \end{aligned}$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

35

(c) Sebastian Jaimungal, 2009

## ● ● ● | Girsanov's Theorem

- Girsanov's Theorem** says that
  - if  $W_t^{(i)}$  are **standard Brownian processes** under  $\mathbb{P}$
  - then the  $W_t^{(i)*}$  are **standard Brownian processes** under  $\mathbb{Q}$  where

$$d\vec{W}_t^* = -\vec{\lambda}(\vec{W}_t, t) dt + d\vec{W}_t$$

© S. Jaimungal, 2006

36