

- ▶ No class this Friday or next Friday
- ▶ No office hours next Thursday or Friday
- ▶ HW 3 coming on Mar 16, due last day of classes
- ▶ project due 1 week after last day of classes

- ▶ §9.2.1, 9.2.2 regression trees (y is continuous)
- ▶ formalism: $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M c_m 1\{x \in \mathcal{R}_m\}$
- ▶ \mathcal{R}_m is a subspace of R^p obtained by partitioning the feature space using binary splits
- ▶ if \mathcal{R}_m is fixed, then the optimal choice of c_m to minimize $\sum \{y_i - f(x_i)\}^2$ is just $\text{ave}(y_i \mid x_i \in \mathcal{R}_m)$
- ▶ trees are 'grown' in a greedy fashion, starting with any node and finding the variable to split on X_j , $j = 1, \dots, p$ and the split point s
- ▶ to minimize squared error after splitting

$$\min_{j,s} \left[\min_{c_1} \sum_{x_i \in R_1(j,s)} (y_i - c_1)^2 + \min_{c_2} \sum_{x_i \in R_2(j,s)} (y_i - c_2)^2 \right]$$

- ▶ $R_1(j, s) = \{X \mid X_j \leq s\}$, $R_2(j, s) = \{X \mid X_j > s\}$
- ▶ $\hat{c}_1 = \text{ave}\{y_i \mid x_i \in R_1(j, s)\}$, $\hat{c}_2 = \text{ave}\{y_i \mid x_i \in R_2(j, s)\}$

- ▶ trees are grown to be quite large and then pruned, using a cost-complexity criterion
- ▶ $C_\alpha(T) = \sum_{m=1}^{|T|} N_m Q_m(T) + \alpha |T|$ (9.16)
- ▶ $Q_m(T) = \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{x_i \in R_m} (y_i - \hat{c}_m)^2$, $\hat{c}_m = \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{x_i \in R_m} y_i$
- ▶ $|T|$ is number of terminal nodes
- ▶ $C_\alpha(T)$ trades off fit to data Q_m and tree size T
- ▶ For each α there is a pruning strategy
- ▶ Choose α by 5 or 10 fold CV
- ▶ see Figure 9.5 for a classification tree
- ▶ more on trees and MARS on March 16

- ▶ response Y , inputs $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$
- ▶ model $f(X) = E(Y | X)$ or $f(X) = pr(Y = 1 | X)$ or $f_k(X) = pr(Y = k | X)$
- ▶ PPR model $f(X) = \sum_{m=1}^M g_m(\omega_m^T X) = \sum g_m(V_m)$, say
- ▶ g_m are 'smooth' functions, as in generalized additive models
- ▶ $V_m = \omega_m^T X$ are derived variables: the projection of X onto $\omega_m = (\omega_{m1}, \dots, \omega_{mp})$, with $\|\omega_m\| = 1$
- ▶ see Figure 11.1
- ▶ as g_m are nonlinear (in general), we are forming nonlinear function of linear combinations
- ▶ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, $\sum g_m(\omega_m^T X)$ can get arbitrarily close to any continuous function on R^p
- ▶ if $M = 1$ a generalization of linear regression

- ▶ training data (x_i, y_i) , $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$\min_{\{g_m, \omega_m\}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ y_i - \sum_{m=1}^M g(\omega_m^T x_i) \right\}^2$$

- ▶ $M = 1$: fix ω , form $v_i = \omega^T x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$
- ▶ solve for g using a regression smoother – kernel, spline, loess, etc.
- ▶ given g , estimate ω by weighted least squares of a derived variable z_i on x_i with weights $g_0^2(\omega_0^T x_i)$ and no constant term
- ▶ uses a simple linear approximation to $g(\cdot)$ (see note)
- ▶ if $M > 1$ add in each derived input one at a time

- training data (x_j, y_j) , $j = 1, \dots, N$
- $$\min_{\{\omega_m\}} \sum_{l=1}^M (y_l - \sum_{m=1}^M g(\omega_m^T x_l))^2$$
- $M = 1$: fix ω , form $v_j = \omega^T x_j$, $j = 1, \dots, N$
- solve for g using a regression smoother – kernel, spline, loess, etc.
- given g , estimate ω by weighted least squares of a derived variable z_j on x_j with weights $g'_j(\omega_j^T x_j)$ and no constant term
- uses a simple linear approximation to $g(\cdot)$ (see note)
- if $M > 1$ add in each derived input one at a time

$$g(\omega^T x_i) \simeq g(\omega_0^T x_i) + g'(\omega_0^T x_i)(\omega - \omega_0)^T x_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{y_i - g(\omega^T x_i)\}^2 &= \{y_i - g_0 - g'_0(\omega - \omega_0)^T x_i\}^2 \\ &= (g'_0)^2 \left\{ \frac{y_i}{g'_0} - \frac{g_0}{g'_0} - (\omega - \omega_0)^T x_i \right\}^2 \\ &= (g'_0)^2 \left\{ \omega_0^T x_i + \left(\frac{y_i - g_0}{g'_0} \right) - \omega^T x_i \right\}^2 \end{aligned}$$

weight derived response (target)

- ▶ a smoothing method that provides derivatives is convenient
- ▶ possible to put in a backfitting step to improve g_m 's after all M are included; possible as well to refit the ω_m
- ▶ M is usually estimated as part of the fitting
- ▶ provided in MASS library as `ppr`: fits M_{max} terms and drops least effective term and refits, continues down to M terms: both M and M_{max} provided by the user
- ▶ `ppr` also accommodates more than a single response Y ; see help file and VR p.280
- ▶ difficult to interpret results of model fit, but may give good predictions on test data
- ▶ PPR is more general than GAM, because it can accommodate interactions between features: eg.
$$X_1 X_2 = \{(X_1 + X_2)^2 - (X_1 - X_2)^2\} / 4$$
- ▶ the idea of 'important' or 'interesting' projections can be used in other contexts to reduce the number of features, in classification and in unsupervised learning, for example

- ▶ inputs X_1, \dots, X_p
- ▶ derived inputs Z_1, \dots, Z_M (hidden layer)
- ▶ output (response) Y_1, \dots, Y_K
- ▶ usual regression has $K = 1$; classification has $(Y_1, \dots, Y_K) = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$
- ▶ also can accommodate multivariate regression with several outputs
- ▶ derived inputs $Z_m = \sigma(\alpha_{0m} + \alpha_m^T X)$ for some choice $\sigma(\cdot)$
- ▶ output $Y_k = f_k(X) = g_k(\beta_{0k} + \beta_k^T Z)$ for some choice $g_k(\cdot)$
- ▶ $\beta_{0k} + \beta_k^T Z$ called the k th **target**, T_k
- ▶ $\sigma(v)$ called an **activation function**, usually chosen to be logistic $1/(1 + e^{-v})$ (sigmoid)
- ▶ in regression g_k would usually be the identity function, in classification logistic
- ▶ in K -class classification usually use $g_k(T) = \frac{e^{T_k}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^K e^{T_\ell}}$

- ▶ connection to PPR: $\sum_{m=1}^M g_m(\omega_m^T \mathbf{X})$
- ▶ $V_m \rightarrow Z_m = \sigma(\alpha_{0m} + \alpha_m^T \mathbf{X})$
- ▶ $g_m \rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_{km} Z_m$
- ▶ i.e. $g_m(V_m)$ replaced by $\beta_m \sigma(\alpha_{0m} + \alpha_m^T \mathbf{X})$
- ▶ smooth functions are less flexible, but may have many derived Z 's
- ▶ note that the intercept terms α_{0m} and β_{0k} could be absorbed into the general expression by including an input of 1, and a hidden layer input of 1; these are called 'bias units'

- ▶ need to estimate $(\alpha_{0m}, \alpha_m), m = 1, \dots, M$ $M(p + 1)$
and $(\beta_{0k}, \beta_k), k = 1, \dots, K$ $K(M + 1)$
- ▶ loss function $R(\theta); \theta = (\alpha_{0m}, \alpha_m, \beta_{0k}, \beta_k)$ to be minimized;
regularization needed to avoid overfitting
- ▶ loss function would be least squares in regression setting,
e.g.

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^N \{y_{ij} - f_k(x_i)\}^2$$

- ▶ for classification could use cross-entropy

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K y_{ik} \log f_k(x_i)$$

- ▶ the parameters α and β called (confusingly) **weights**, and
regularization is called **weight decay**

- ▶ data $(y_{ik}, x_i), i = 1, \dots, N, k = 1, \dots, K$: let $z_{mi} = \sigma(\alpha_{0m} + \alpha_m^T x_i)$ and $z_i = (z_{1i}, \dots, z_{mi})$
- ▶ $f_k(X) = g_k(\beta_{0k} + \beta_k^T Z) : Z_m = \sigma(\alpha_{0m} + \alpha_m^T X)$
- ▶ $R(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \{y_{ik} - f_k(x_i)\}^2 = \sum R_i(\theta)$, say

$$\frac{\partial R_i}{\partial \beta_{km}} = -2\{y_{ik} - f_k(x_i)\} g'_k(\beta_k^T z_i) z_{mi}$$

$$\frac{\partial R_i}{\partial \alpha_{m\ell}} = -2 \sum_{k=1}^K \{y_{ik} - f_k(x_i)\} g'_k(\beta_k^T z_i) \beta_{km} \sigma'(\alpha_m^T x_i) x_{i\ell}$$

at each iteration use $\partial R / \partial \theta$ to guide choice to next point

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{km}^{(r+1)} &= \beta_{km}^{(r)} - \gamma_r \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial R_i}{\partial \beta_{km}^{(r)}} \\
\alpha_{ml}^{(r+1)} &= \alpha_{ml}^{(r)} - \gamma_r \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial R_i}{\partial \alpha_{ml}^{(r)}} \\
\delta_{ki} &= -2(y_{ik} - f_k(\mathbf{x}_i))g'_k(\beta_k^T \mathbf{z}_i) \\
s_{mi} &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^K \{y_{ik} - f_k(\mathbf{x}_i)\} g'_k(\beta_k^T \mathbf{z}_i) \beta_{km} \sigma'(\alpha_m^T \mathbf{x}_i) \\
s_{mi} &= \sigma'(\alpha_m^T \mathbf{x}_i) \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_{km} \delta_{ki} \quad (11.15)
\end{aligned}$$

use current estimates to get $\hat{f}_k(\mathbf{x}_i)$
 compute δ_{ki} and hence s_{mi} from (11.15)
 put these into (11.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{km}^{(r+1)} &= \beta_{km}^{(r)} - \gamma_r \sum_{i=1}^M \delta_i \frac{\partial R}{\partial \beta_{km}} \\ \alpha_{ml}^{(r+1)} &= \alpha_{ml}^{(r)} - \gamma_r \sum_{i=1}^M \delta_i \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_{ml}} \\ \delta_u &= -2[y_u - f_u(\mathbf{x}_u)] g'_u(v_u^T \mathbf{z}_u) \\ s_{uv} &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^K [y_u - f_u(\mathbf{x}_u)] g'_u(v_u^T \mathbf{z}_u) g'_{kv}(v_{kv}^T \mathbf{x}_u) \\ s_{mv} &= \sigma'(v_m^T \mathbf{x}_u) \sum_{k=1}^K \delta_{kv} s_{kv} \quad (11.15) \end{aligned}$$

use current estimates to get $f_u(\mathbf{x}_u)$
compute δ_u and hence s_{uv} from (11.15)
put these into (11.13)

- the coefficients $(\alpha_{ml}, \beta_{km})$ are usually called weights
- the algorithm is called back propogation or the δ -rule
- can be computed in time linear in the number of hidden units
- can be processed one instance (case) at a time
- any continuous function can be represented this way (with enough Z 's)

- ▶ with small $\alpha_{m\ell}$, $\sigma(v) \simeq v$; large linear regression
- ▶ if algorithm stops early, $\alpha_{m\ell}$ still small; fit 'nearly' linear or shrunk towards a linear fit
- ▶ use penalty as in ridge regression to avoid overfitting
- ▶ $\min R(\theta) + \lambda J(\theta)$
- ▶ $J(\theta) = \sum \beta_{km}^2 + \sum \alpha_{m\ell}^2$
- ▶ as in ridge regression need to scale inputs to mean 0, var 1 (at least approx.)
- ▶ λ called weight decay parameter; seems to be more crucial than the number of hidden units
- ▶ `nnet` in MASS library
- ▶ regression examples: §11.6, simulated, also `cpus` data from MASS
- ▶ classification examples: Figure 11.4 and Figures 2.1-4