

Homework 3

due November 22

1. *Profile log-likelihood.* Suppose $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is a vector of independent, identically distributed random variables from the density $f(y; \psi, \lambda)$, where $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ is the parameter of interest and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a nuisance parameter. The profile log-likelihood is defined as $\ell_p(\psi) = \ell(\psi, \hat{\lambda}_\psi)$, where $\hat{\lambda}_\psi$ is assumed to satisfy the score equation $\partial \ell(\psi, \lambda) / \partial \lambda = 0$.

- (a) Show that the estimator of ψ that satisfies the profile score equation $\partial \ell_p(\psi) / \partial \psi = 0$ is the same as the maximum likelihood estimator of ψ .
- (b) Show that the profile information function $j_p(\psi) = -\partial^2 \ell_p(\psi) / \partial \psi^2$ satisfies

$$\{j_p(\psi)\}^{-1} = j^{\psi\psi}(\psi, \hat{\lambda}_\psi),$$

where $j^{\psi\psi}(\theta)$ is the (ψ, ψ) block of $j^{-1}(\theta)$, the inverse of the observed Fisher information from the log-likelihood function $\ell(\psi, \lambda)$.

- (c) Use Taylor series expansion to show that

$$\hat{\lambda}_\psi - \hat{\lambda} = -j_{\lambda\lambda}^{-1}(\hat{\psi}, \hat{\lambda}) j_{\lambda\psi}(\hat{\psi}, \hat{\lambda})(\psi - \hat{\psi}) + O_p(n^{-1}).$$

- (d) Expand $\ell_p(\psi)$ about ψ and use the results of (b) and (c) to show that

$$w_p(\psi) = 2\{\ell_p(\hat{\psi}) - \ell_p(\psi)\} = (\psi - \hat{\psi})^2 j_p(\hat{\psi}) + o_p(1),$$

and hence that the limiting distribution of $w_p(\psi)$ is χ_1^2 , under the model.

2. *BNC, Exercise 3.6.* Based on observations y_1, \dots, y_n independently normally distributed with unknown mean and variance, obtain the profile log-likelihood for $\Pr(Y > a)$, where a is an arbitrary constant, and compare inference based on this with the exact answer from the non-central t -distribution.
3. *Adapted from BNC, Ex. 2.24.*
- (a) Suppose Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent, identically distributed as Poisson with mean θ . Show that the conditional distribution of Y_1, \dots, Y_n , given $S = \Sigma Y_i$, is Multinomial(S, π) where $\pi = (1/n, \dots, 1/n)$. This distribution can in principle be used to assess goodness of fit of the Poisson model, but if n is much bigger than 2 or 3 it will be difficult to determine which directions in the sample space to examine.
- (b) A summary statistic that could be used to see whether data are consistent with the moment properties of the Poisson is $T = \Sigma(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 / \{(n-1)\bar{Y}\}$. Show that

$$E(T | S = s) = 1, \quad \text{var}(T | S = s) = \frac{2(1 - 1/s)}{n - 1},$$

and thus that, conditionally on $S = s$, $(n-1)sT/(s-1)$ has the same first two moments as a $\chi_{(n-1)s/(s-1)}^2$.

- (c) Explore the extension of this to assessing goodness of fit for a Poisson regression, where $y_i \sim \text{Po}(\theta_i)$, and $\log \theta_i = \alpha + \beta x_i$.
4. *SM, Problem 4.9.1.* The logistic density is a location-scale family with density function

$$f(y; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{\exp\{(y - \mu)/\sigma\}}{\sigma[1 + \exp\{(y - \mu)/\sigma\}]}, \quad -\infty < y < \infty, -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma > 0.$$

- (a) When $\sigma = 1$, show that the expected Fisher information about μ in y is $1/3$.
- (b) If instead of observing y , we observe $z = 1$ if $y > 0$, otherwise $z = 0$. When $\sigma = 1$ show that the maximum expected Fisher information about μ in z is $3/4$, achieved at $\mu = 0$.

5. *Saddlepoint approximation.* Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and identically distributed on \mathbb{R} , with density function $f(x)$ and moment generating function $M_X(t) = E\{\exp(tX)\}$ assumed to exist for t in an open interval about 0, and cumulant generating function $K_X(t) = \log M_X(t)$. The *saddlepoint approximation* to the density of $\bar{X} = n^{-1}\sum X_i$ is given by

$$f_{\bar{X}}(\bar{x}) \doteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \frac{n}{K_X''(\hat{\phi})} \right\}^{1/2} \exp\{nK_X(\hat{\phi}) - n\hat{\phi}\bar{x}\},$$

where $\hat{\phi} = \hat{\phi}(\bar{x})$ satisfies the equation $K_X'(\hat{\phi}) = \bar{x}$.

- (a) Show that if Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent and identically distributed from a scalar parameter exponential family

$$f(y; \theta) = \exp\{\theta y - c(\theta) - d(y)\}$$

that the saddlepoint approximation to the density of $\hat{\theta}$ is given by

$$f_{\hat{\theta}}(\hat{\theta}; \theta) \doteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} j^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}) \exp\{\ell(\theta) - \ell(\hat{\theta})\}.$$

- (b) If y_1, \dots, y_n are independent and identically distributed from a scalar parameter location family

$$f(y; \theta) = f_0(y - \theta),$$

then we showed in class that the exact density of the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}$, given a , where $a_i = y_i - \hat{\theta}, i = 1, \dots, n$, is

$$f_{\hat{\theta}|A}(\hat{\theta} | a; \theta) = \frac{\exp\{\ell(\theta; y)\}}{\int \exp\{\ell(\theta; y)\} d\theta},$$

where in the right hand side we recall that $y_i = \hat{\theta} + a_i$. By expanding $\ell(\theta)$ in the denominator in a Taylor series about $\hat{\theta}$, show that the exact conditional density can be approximated by

$$f_{\hat{\theta}|A}(\hat{\theta} | a; \theta) \doteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} j^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}) \exp\{\ell(\theta) - \ell(\hat{\theta})\}.$$

Both these approximations have similar versions for p -dimensional parametric models, with slight changes in notation. Both approximations have relative error $O(n^{-1})$, and when re-normalized to integrate to 1 have relative error $O(n^{-3/2})$.