In 1938, Duke University researchers Pratt and Woodruff conducted an experiment looking for evidence of ESP (extrasensory perception). In the experiment, students were presented with five standard ESP symbols (square, wavy lines, circle, star, cross). The experimenter shuffled a deck of ESP cards, each of which had one of the five symbols on it. The experimenter drew a card from this deck, looked at it, and concentrated on the symbol on the card. The student would then guess the symbol, perhaps by reading the experimenter's mind.

This experiment was repeated with 32 students for a total of 60,000 trials. The students were correct 12,489 times. If the students were selecting one of the five symbols at random, the probability of success would be p=0.2 and we would expect the students to be correct 12,000 times out of 60,000.

Should we write off the observed excess of 489 as nothing more than random variation?

Sampling hystributor p ~ N (p, p(1-p)) N=60000 If guessing 6 ~ N (.2, .2(.8)) $5.d. = \sqrt{-2(.8)} = .00163$ The anestring
Porce of doing as well or better
then did

20815-2 - 4.7 std P(.20815)=0 Txtrehely unlikely

It sume the student might have the because of they were just quessing, it would be very unlikely to do or well as they did 1970 I for p: p + 2/2 | p (1-p) =.20815 + 2.576 20815 (1-.20815)= (.2039, .2124)

= (.2039, .2124)

Sum to be doing better themany

sum to be doing better greining

Con	without nevertary for CT: $n (ary enough: 1)$ $n > 10$, $n (1-p) > 10$ $n > 10$, $n > 10$, $n > 10$ $n > 10$, $n > 10$ $n > 10$, $n > 10$
1	AU 60,000 observatore Chould be independent
	Fort only on 32 Students so maybe not.